

The judiciary case Dr. Eike Lauterbach ; How justice in Leipzig and Münster tortures a mother psychically and financially

15. June 2015 · 7 comments · categories: [district court Leipzig](#), [district court Münster](#), [North Rhine-Westphalia](#), [Saxony](#)

A report by Heinz Faßbender and Hardy Kaiser



She is fighting for her beloved child – but there is no chance with this justice!

Mrs. Dr. Eike Lauterbach (38) has got a PHD and a diploma in interpreting for Russian / German / English and she is working as a freelancer. She was working in a highly official position attending chancellor Merkel's honorary doctorate ceremony. In former GDR she was also known as "IM-Erika", who observed Robert Havemann a famous critic of the regime on behalf of State Security Service of the GDR. However, this is another topic.

Nonetheless: In 2011 the judge Bernd Weifenbach, born on the 17th of June 1962, working for the district court since the 16th of November 1995 stated her mentally disordered. Yes, you read correctly: An interpreter with a diploma and a PHD is stated mentally disordered.

Reason: So it was possible to take away custody for her son who was 2 years old by this time.

Even worse: Despite of a mother-child course of treatment she was accused of a refusal of contact between her son and his father.

This is justice in Saxony as it is existing very well because of „court fees“.



Madness starts with high court fees besides psychological torture by "Family Courts"!

She had to bear the high costs of "proceedings". For the first time in her life, she was heavily indebted because of this. In winter, there are 11 degrees in her flat. She cannot afford to heat.



Jan can deal out very well – does the mother say

The father Jan Wohlgemuth PHD (40) many times brutally choked the mother in presence of the child and through her against the wall with brute force. "Russian slut" or "bitch" were one of the nicer terms. The father pretends to be a very serious man in his [published vita](#) in the internet. Obviously, he is very successful in doing so. His "academic friends" in justice of

Münster and Leipzig seem to like it very much. After all, he is teaching at the University of Münster.

Court was familiar with the fact that the father knew about the health endangerments of the mother but also the continuous contact refusals with her son. Therefore, it happened that the child started calling his grandma (mother of the father) mama. This is very painful the mother told us and told the High Court many times.

However, one knows: Saxony's justice is governed by inhumanness and lawlessness coupled with right/brown views.

Not only there people use to say: **“Western scum with dregs from the east call themselves constitutional (right-wing) democracy”**.

As the father tied into the grandmother when returning the child the guardian ad litem (lawyer of the child) interrupted him to prevent something much worse to happen. However, this action was without consequences for the father. Those guardian ad litem get a lot of money “doing their job” to represent the so-called “child's welfare”.

Actually, the father contacted oneself to stay in Leipzig to fulfil to the constitutionally binding provisions of common parenting and common contact. He did it regardless and moved to his archconservative and catholic hometown Münster. There, mother Lauterbach has no rights anymore. The child's mother presumes racist reasons because the political relations to Russia got worse at this time. The mother is half-russian.



Visitation rights are regulated constitutionally. However, German courts do not abide to this. (example illustration)

Also tragic: In family law, there is no official control of “courts”. This opens doors to arbitrariness. That is why they respond to press inquiries in principle. These include also procedural matters full of curiosities because there is no control at all. However, there is also no response to those.

The mother is disabled but not mentally disabled. This fact makes it harder to enforce visitation rights because of the great distance between Leipzig and Münster. Father and court

in common harmony ignore this fact eagerly. The federal commissioner for disabled people ignores that as well. He writes in his letter: “Mrs. Lauterbach should use all available offers to see her child”.



District court Münster – archconservative and radical catholic – sad for other cultural hearths

However, in Münster there is no family law: The by this time 76 years old grandmother, who suffers from asthma and is disabled as well, was appointed to collect the child from Münster.

What a cynicism – what kind of judicial system, which allows such obstacles. Yes, obviously very deliberately!

Jan – Hendrik Zeidler, born on the 14th of May 1980, obviously judge on probation by this time, mandated accompanied visitation of only one hour in the bureaucratic town Münster. Certificates of doctors stating a missing ability to travel from Leipzig to Münster did not interest. If the mother would not accept those appointments she was threatened she would be excluded from visitation rights durable.

Arrogance of power dictates: - The child has to stay in Münster. Yes, also phone calls between mother and child are prohibited.

What kind of system? >>> What kind of fascistic justice! This is racism against disabled people and against citizens with Russian ancestry at the same time!

Lawyers united in this totally closed parallel society get lined more and more. It is intended by civil servants and the police state for sure > such so-called “organs of judicature”.

It becomes blatantly obvious in this case of parental child abduction.



Lawyer Silvia Gatz from Leipzig – with fines cloth – after receiving exorbitant high note of fees – as a so-called organ of judicature.

Sylvia Gatz, a specialized lawyer for family right, states in a casing way to the Higher Regional Court in Hamm that the biological mother is not needed anymore because the father of the child found a new girlfriend who can take over this task.

Annette Zurhove, born on the 19th of March 1963 (working for Higher Regional Court in Hamm since the 23rd of March 2003), Peter Jaspers, born on the 3rd of January 1968 (working for Higher Regional Court in Hamm since the 8th of July 2013) and Christoph Bröker, born on the 3rd of January 1967 (working for Higher Regional Court in Hamm since the 17th of November 2008 see no necessity for child's contact with his mother, which is after all strictly dictated by constitutional court.

Contact with her child is made completely impossible to the mother, despite UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities signed by Germany. According to the Convention, they have to make it possible to the mother to see her child. However, ostrich policy is always working very well also in this case.

Additional to this – by sanctions of authority - the parcels of the mother to her child are send back with relish and without any comment.



District court Leipzig – a stronghold of right-wing attitudes of “judicature”!

The boy himself wakes up at night very often, dreaming that his mother comes to collect him. This is the information she got from child protective services. He is conflicted by relationship problems and justice stress and backward in his personal development as well because of the situation. The father himself is carrier of β -hemolytic streptococcus but this is not of further interest. Albeit he infects the child continuously – for child protective services and guardian ad litem that is no problem at all. In practice, it is grievous bodily harm – but for the persons responsible this seem to belong to child’s welfare. Stigmas on booth feet are also remain unquestioned for years. The evident endangered child’s welfare is unfortunately only criticise by the disenfranchised and worried mother. Guardian ad litem, lawyer Marie-Luise Schulte Eversum from Münster even officially offends the Russian grandma in her writs for the so-called “family courts”.

Everything is allowed – the only thing, which is not allowed, is to see her child.

What kind of justice is it? – A justice of contempt for humankind!

First class impertinence: The mother of the child shall also pay the horrendous “court fees”, will lose all what she has or will be arrested, if she cannot pay in time. A perfect way of elimination in the manner of essentially German grey times – oh Germany > what horrible lawyers do you have! Law is not binding at all for those lawyers, which call themselves organs of judicature.

Poor Germany!